

I am worried about Sinclair's intention to air an anti-Kerry film within 2 weeks of the upcoming Presidential election without following it with equal time airing a pro-Kerry film like "Going Upriver".

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. This planned presentation of the owner's viewpoint to the public is, in my opinion, an abuse of power. A political program should be a paid advertisement not a required airing by their own numerous small and large stations.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.